Washington University ERes Cover Sheet

Article Title:	TOWARD	A CRITI	que of	ARCHITETUR	THE DOBOLOGY
Author:	MANFREDO	TAFURI			
Source Title:		. 40			
Vol.:_	Issue:		Date: 190	39	Pages: 2-35

Warning Concerning Copyright Restrictions

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17. United States Code) governs the reproduction and distribution of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to reproduce materials. One of these conditions is that the reproduction not be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." Any person who copies or re-distributes this material in any way inconsistent with Title 17 and its "fair use" provisions may be liable for copyright infringement.

Manfredo Tafuri

"Toward a Critique of Architectural Ideology" "Per una critica dell'ideologia architettonica," Contropiano 1 (January-April 1969); translated for this anthology by Stephen Sartarelli

Contemporary architecture's situation was never more radically theorized than by Manfredo Tafuri. Locating architecture's intellectual project in the historical matrix of the bourgeois metropolis, Tafuri formulates the entire cycle of modernism (he refuses any periodization of a postmodernism) as a unitary development in which the avant-gardes' visions of utopia come to be recognized as an idealization of capitalism, a transfiguration of the latter's rationality into the rationality of autonomous form—architecture's "plan," its ideology, Gathering up the threads that link the sociology of Georg Simmel and Max Weber, the critical theory of Georg Lukács, Walter Benjamin, and Theodor Adorno, the structuralism of Louis Althusser and Roland Barthes, and the negative thought of Massimo Cacciari, Tafuri identifies what for him is contemporary architecture's only condition of possibility: to collapse into the very system that assures its demise or retreat into hypnotic solitude.

Substitute "bourgeois art" for "the individual," and the first lines of Simmel's "The Metropolis and Mental Life" disclose the same problematic as those of Tafuri's essay reprinted here: how the subject—the individual or art—seeks to protect its internal integrity and, at the same time, accommodate itself to the shock of metropolitan experience. Simmel: "The deepest problems of modern life derive from the claim of the individual to preserve the autonomy and individuality of his existence in the face of overwhelming social forces, of historical heritage, of external culture, and of the technique of life." Tafuri: "To dispel anxiety by understanding and internalizing its causes: this would seem to be one of the principal ethical imperatives of bourgeois art. It matters little whether the conflicts, contradictions, and torments that create anxiety are absorbed into a comprehensive mechanism capable of reconciling those differences, or whether catharsis is achieved through contemplative

of social relations brought about by the monetary economy. This process dissolves general form assumed by the process of technical rationalization and objectification confrontation with objects and people (shock) and an excessive distance from them tude," wrote Simmel.)3 The conflicted nature of the blasé type fully reflects the mewhich has been so unconditionally reserved to the metropolis as has the blasé attiresult, at the level of the individual, is the metropolitan subject, what Simmel called ticularity and quality, and restructures subjectivity as reason and calculation.² The individuality into a flow of weightless impressions, abstracts and levels down all partropolis's structure of functional contradictions - contradictions that include a close ing totally intellectualized and indifferent. ("There is perhaps no psychic phenomenon the biasé type: the neurasthenic who survives the increase in nervous life by becomsay that the blase type reflects the metropolis from the perspective of the subject's simultaneous internalization of the social totality in the individual. All of which is to (Nervenleben), the emergence of extreme individuality in the social totality and the of the intellect (Verstand or Vergeistigung) only through the life of the nerves (agoraphobia), stimulation as the cure for overstimulation, the ascendancy of the life negated autonomy.4 As Tafuri puts it, "The problem now became that of teaching not Following Simmel, Tafuri understands the metropolis as the

how one should 'suffer' that shock, but how one should absorb it and internalize it as an inevitable condition of existence."

Like the blasé personality, bourgeois art and architecture essentially and contradictorily register the very forces that assure their ineffectuality. Having first been exploded by the shock and distress of the metropolis (expressionism), and then, with a sardonic detachment, taken an inventory of its surrounding remains (dadaism), bourgeois architectural thought must conclude that the subject itself is the only impediment to the smooth development of the fully rationalized technocratic plan that was to become the total system of capital. One had to pass from Edvard Munch's cathartic *Scream* to Ludwig Hilberseimer's metropolitan machine — the ultimate architectural sign of self-liquidation through the autonomy of formal construction, its homeostatic regulation of urban form understood as the ideological training ground for life in the desacralized, distracted, posthumanist world. Tafuri again:

To remove the experience of shock from all automatism, to use that experience as the foundation for visual codes and codes of action borrowed from already established characteristics of the capitalist metropolis—rapidity of change and organization, simultaneity of communications, accelerated rhythms of use, eclecticism—to reduce the structure of artistic experience to the status of pure object (an obvious metaphor for the object-commodity), to involve the public, as a unified whole, in a declaredly interclass and therefore antibourgeois ideology: such are the tasks taken on, as a whole, by the avant-gardes of the twentieth century.

The problem, then, was to plan the disappearance of the subject, to dissolve architecture into the structure of the metropolis, wherein it turns into pure object. Thus does architectural ideology resolve the contradiction between the internal, subjective resistance to metropolitan shock and the external, structural totality of the production system: this is its utopia. For Tafuri, that utopianism—whatever other aims and local concrete effects it may have—ends up ushering into being the universal, systematic planification of capitalism, all the while concealing this fundamental function behind the rhetoric of its manifestos and within the purity of its forms. The struggle of architecture to rationalize itself through autonomous formal operations alerts us not to architecture's success, but to the historical moment of modernity as a limiting condition, one that shuts down certain social functions that architecture had previously performed.

Tafuri's theory takes ideology as its object (it is an ideology of ideologies), and, from his point of view, in modernity all aesthetic ideologies are equivalent if not interchangeable. As such they are equally useless for social production: this is architecture's destiny. Such a thesis was received at the time of its first publication as the pronouncement of the death of architecture, to which Tafuri responded:

What is of interest here is the precise identification of those tasks which capitalist development has taken away from architecture. That is to say, what it has taken away in general from ideological

compare 392-393 and Cacciari (397 ff)

prefiguration. With this, one is led almost automatically to the discovery of what may well be the "drama" of architecture today: that is, to see architecture obliged to return to pure architecture, to form without utopia; in the best cases, to sublime uselessness. To the deceptive attempts to give architecture an ideological dress, I shall always prefer the sincerity of those who have the courage to speak of that silent and outdated "purity"; even if this, too, still harbors an ideological inspiration, pathetic in its anachronism.

Notes

In its original form this essay had no section headings; as an aid to the reader, they have been added here following the Spanish version of the essay in *De la vanguardia a la metropoli: Critica radical a la arquitectura* (Barcelona: Gustavo Gili, 1972).

Tafuri expanded the essay as *Progetto e Utopia* (Bari: Laterza & Figli, 1973), which appeared in English as *Architecture and Utopia: Design and Capitalist Development*, trans. Barbara Luiga La Penta (Cambridge: MIT Press, 1976).

- Georg Simmel, "Die Grosstädte und das Geistesleben" (1903); translated as "The Metropolis and Mental Life," in *The Sociology of Georg Simmel*, ed. Kurt H. Wolff (New York: Free Press, 1950), p. 409.
- "The essence of modernity as such is psychologism, the experiencing and interpretation of the world in terms of the reactions of our inner life and indeed as an inner world, the dissolution of fixed contents in the fluid element of the soul, from which all that is substantive is

Aldo Rossi, L' architecture assassinée, 1975



- architecture appayinec-

A Maryleda Tafor

中でなが

TAFURI | 1969 | 5

filtered and whose forms are merely forms of motion." Georg Simmel, "Rodin," in *Philosophische Kultur: Gesammelte Essais* (Leipzig: W. Klinkhardt, 1911), p. 196.

- Simmel, "The Metropolis and Mental Life," p. 413.
- "In the blase attitude the concentration of men and things stimulates the nervous system of the individual to its highest achievement so that it attains its peak. Through the mere quantitative intensification of the same conditioning factors this achievement is transformed into its opposite and appears in the peculiar adjustment of the blase attitude. In this phenomenon the nerves find in the refusal to react to their stimulation the last possibility of accommodating to the contents and forms of metropolitan life. The self-preservation of certain personalities is bought at the price of devaluing the whole objective world, a devaluation which in the end unavoidably drags one's own personality down into a feeling of the same worthlessness." Simmel, "The Metropolis and Mental Life," p. 415.

Simmel's truth, for Tafuri and Massimo Cacciari, is the recognition of metropolitan experience as a form of negative thought. His mistake (the same as Lukács's) was his anachronistic humanism—"man's 'diabolical' insistence on remaining man, on taking his place as an "imperfect machine' in a social universe in which the only consistent behavior is that of pure silence," Tafuri, Architecture and Utopia, p. 74. Also see Cacciari, Architecture and Nihilism: On the Philosophy of Modern Architecture, trans. Stephen Sartarelli (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1993).

Tafuri, Architecture and Utopia, p. ix.

To dispel anxiety by understanding and internalizing its causes: this would seem to be one of the principal ethical imperatives of bourgeois art. It matters little whether the conflicts, contradictions and torments that create anxiety are absorbed into a comprehensive mechanism capable of reconciling those differences, or whether cathasis is achieved through contemplative sublimation. We recognize, in any case, the "necessity" of the bourgeois intellectual in the imperative significance his "social" mission assumes: in other words, there exists, between the avant-gardes of capital and the intellectual avant-gardes, a kind of tacit understanding, so tacit indeed that any attempt to bring it into the light elicits a chorus of indignant protest. Culture, in its intermediary role, has so defined its distinguishing features in ideological terms that in its shrewdness it has reached the point—beyond all intellectual good faith—of imposing forms of contestation and protest upon its own products. And the higher the formal level of the sublimation of conflicts, the more the structures confirming and validating that sublimation remain hidden.

If we are to confront the subject of the ideology of architecture from this perspective, we must attempt to shed light on how one of the most functional proposals for the reorganization of capital has come to suffer the most humiliating frustrations, to the point where it can be presented today as objective and transcending all connotations of class, or even as a question of alternatives, a terrain of direct confrontation between intellectuals and capital.

I must say straightaway that I do not believe it an accident that so many of the recent cultural theories in the architectural debate are devoted to a somber reexamination of the very origins of modern art. Assumed as an indication of a thorough, self-regarding uneasiness, architectural culture's increasingly generalized interest in the Enlightenment has, for us, a precise significance, beyond the mystified manner in which it is explained. By returning to its origins—correctly identified in the period of strict correspondence between bourgeois ideologies and intellectual advances—one begins to see the whole course of modern architecture as a unitary development.

Accepting this approach, we can consider the formation of architectural ideologies comprehensively, particularly as regards their implications for the city.

Moreover, a systematic exploration of the Enlightenment debate will also enable us to grasp, on a purely ideological level, a great many of the contradictions that accompany the development of modern art.

Reason's Adventures: Naturalism and the City in the Century of

the Enlightenment

The formation of the architect as ideologue of the "social"; the individuation of the proper area of intervention in the phenomenology of the city; the role of form as persuasion in regard to the public, and as self-criticism in regard to its own concerns; the dialectic—on the level of formal investigation—between the role of

the architectonic "object" and that of urban organization: On what level, and with what sort of awareness, do these abstract constants of the modern means of visual communication become concretized in the currents of Enlightenment thought?

When Laugier, in 1765, formulated his theories on the design of the city, officially inaugurating Enlightenment architectural theory, his words betrayed a twofold influence: on the one hand, the desire to reduce the city itself to a natural phenomenon, on the other, the wish to go beyond all a priori ideas of urban organization by extending, to the urban fabric, the formal dimensions associated with the aesthetics of the Picturesque.

"Anyone who knows how to design a park well," writes Laugier in his Observations, "will draw up a plan according to which a City must be built in relation to its area and situation. There must be squares, intersections, streets. There must be regularity and whimsy, relationships and oppositions, chance elements that lend variety to the tableau, precise order in the details and confusion, chaos, and turnult in the whole."

Laugier's words perceptively capture the formal reality of the eighteenth-century city. It is no longer a question of archetypal schemas of order, but of accepting the anti-perspective character of the urban space. Even the park, as reference point, has a new meaning: in its variety, the nature called upon to form part of the urban structure supplants the comforting rhetorical and didactic naturalism that had dominated the episodic narrativity of Baroque arrangements through the seventeenth century and for the first half of the eighteenth.

Thus Laugier's appeal to naturalism implies, at once, an appeal to the original purity of the act of ordering the environment, and an understanding of the eminently anti-organic character typical of the city. But that is not all. The reduction of the city to a natural phenomenon clearly corresponds to the aesthetics of the Picturesque that English Empiricism had introduced in the first decades of the eighteenth century, for which Alexander Cozens, in 1759, had provided a very rich and important theoretical foundation.

We do not know to what degree Cozens's theory of "blots" may have influenced Laugier's notion of the city. What is certain is that the French abbot's urban invention and the English painter's landscape theory share a method based on selection as a tool for critical intervention in a "natural" reality.²

Now, taking for granted that for the theorists of the eighteenth century, the city fell within the same formal domain as painting, selectivity and criticism implied the introduction, into urban planning, of a fragmentary approach that places not only Nature and Reason, but the natural fragment and the urban fragment, on the same level.

As a human creation, the city tends toward a natural condition, in the same way that the landscape, through the critical selection made by the painter, must necessarily bear the stamp of a social morality.

ment theorists, pointedly grasps the artificial character of the urban language, neither lemic against Perrault's perceptive insights into the artificial nature of the language relinquish a mythical, abstract view of Nature and its organic quality. Boullée's po-Ledoux nor Boullée, who were far more innovative in their works, are willing to It is significant that while Laugier, like the English Enlighten-

of architecture is very revealing in this respect.

royal squares. We shall therefore limit ourselves to noting Laugier's theoretical perbrought together, in a single, comprehensive framework, the projects for the new more than the varied sequences of spaces that appear in Patte's plan of Paris, which ceptions, which become all the more significant when we recall that Le Corbusier leaned on them in delineating the theoretical principles of his Ville Radieuse. It may be that Laugier's city as forest was modeled on nothing

a natural object? On the one hand we find, in such an assumption, a sublimation of nisms of accumulation, transforms the processes of land exploitation and agricultural physiocratic theories: the city is not interpreted as a structure that, with its mechabecause it is universal, the city is freed from any structural considerations whatsoever. and property revenues. As a phenomenon likened to a "natural" process, ahistorical At first, formal "naturalism" served to advocate the objective necessity of the processes set in motion by the pre-Revolutionary bourgeoisie; later it was used to consolidate What does it mean, on the ideological level, to liken the city to

and protect these achievements from any further transformation. On the other hand, this naturalism fulfills its function by ensur-

ing artistic activity an ideological role in the strict sense. It is no accident that at the very moment in which the bourgeois economy began to discover and establish its own categories of action and judgment, assigning "values" contents directly measurtions made artificially objective through an appeal to the universality of Nature. crisis of the former systems of "values" was immediately covered up by new sublimaable with the gauges dictated by the new methods of production and exchange, the

This was why Reason and Nature now had to be unified. En-

erations it was carrying out, and believed it necessary to avoid a direct confrontation lightenment rationalism was unable to take upon itself full responsibility for the op-

with its own premises.

gime. Nascent urban capitalism and the economic structures based on precapitalist centuries, this ideological smokescreen played on the contradictions of the union rerists of the city, rather than emphasize this contradiction, attempt to hide it, or rather exploitation of the land butted up against one another. It is significant that the theoto resolve it by dissolving the city in the great sea of Nature and focusing their atten-It is clear that, throughout the eighteenth and early nineteenth

tions entirely on the city's superstructural aspects. Urban naturalism, the imposition of the Picturesque on the city

and its architecture, and the emphasis on landscape in artistic ideology, all served to negate the now manifest dichotomy between urban and rural reality, to pretend that as a machine for producing new forms of economic accumulation. there was no gap between the valorization of nature and the valorization of the city

The rhetorical, Arcadian naturalism of seventeenth-century cul-

ture was now replaced by a different, but equally persuasive naturalism. It is important, however, to point out that at first, the deliberate

than conditioning, the formulation of new, consistent models of development. development schemas of the Baroque city; it later became a way of avoiding, rather abstraction of Enlightenment theories of the city served to destroy the planning and

cism, architectural culture played a predominantly destructive role in the eighteenth Thus, in a manner entirely anomalous with the general trends in Enlightenment α iti-

> laissez-faire economics, architecture was forced to channel its self-critical efforts in tion techniques corresponding to the new conditions of bourgeois ideology and and nineteenth centuries. Not having at its disposal a mature substratum of produc-

the making of "objects" in becoming a technique of organizing premade materials sought. Rather, the "picturesque" of Brown, Kent, and Wood, and the "horrid" of pavilions and grottoes, which seem to summon together the most disparate testimohistorical perspective is consummated. But in that accumulation of little temples, phy, one confronted the European myths with anything that might, by contradicting tasies of exotic pavilions and false ruins are inserted without irony, is theoretically Hindu architecture, and the Romantic naturalism of landscape gardens in which fancally, criticism of criticism. The whole fashion of invoking Gothic, Chinese, and they demand a verification extraneous to architecture. Lequeu, pose a question: with the tools of an architecture that has already given up them, reconfirm their validity. In the English landscape garden, the annulment of connected to the atmosphere of Montesquieu's Lettres persmes, Voltaire's Ingénu, and product of the new bourgeois science of historical criticism, which is also, paradoxinies of human history, it was not really an escape into a fairy-tale world that was Leibniz's caustic anti-Western positions. To integrate rationalism and critical philosothat might assume an anti-European significance. Piranesi's fragmentationism is a First of all, for polemical reasons, it tended to glorify everything

critics, these architects began a systematic and fateful autopsy of architecture and all With the utter detachment typical of the great Enlightenment

cernible behind the hallucinatory fantasies of a Lequeu, a Bélanger, or a Piranesi. to the city, architecture presented an alternative to the nihilistic prospect clearly dis-Secondly, even while bracketing its own formative role in regard

into conflict with each other, and which, instead of leading to solutions, would acsteer it. Typology, then, and architecture parlante: the same themes that Piranesi brought it would have to confront the question of types head-on—which Durand and Dubut in fact did. On the other hand it could become a science of sensations; and this is the On the one hand it could become an instrument of social equilibrium; in which case centuate, throughout the nineteenth century, the internal crisis of architectural direction in which Ledoux and, more systematically, Le Camus de Mézières, would architecture—in order to avoid destroying itself—discovered its scientific vocation. Renouncing a symbolic role, at least in the traditional sense,

ously inventing advanced solutions at the most generally applicable levels. Toward this end, ideology played a determinant part. handiwork. As agents of politics, architects had to take up the challenge of continu-Architecture now accepted the task of "politicizing" its own

utopia in support of the urban reformism advocated at the purely formal level. that among all the theorizing of the philosophes of architecture one can find no social cording to its authentic meanings. In fact, the architectural propositions of eighin the works of Enlightenment architecture should therefore be precisely defined acteenth-century Europe contain nothing that cannot be realized, and it is no accident The utopianism that modern historiography has chosen to see

of realism, even in the abstract terms in which it is expressed Quarremère de Quincy for the second edition of the great Encyclopédie, is a masterpiece The very introduction to the entry under Architecture, written by

life and pass on his memory to future generations, one cannot deny that architecture pleasure and necessity in which man has participated to help him bear the trials of "Among all the arts," writes Quarremère, "those children of

TAFURI | 1969 | 11

it surpasses all the other arts. It sees to the salubrity of cities, guards the health of must hold a most eminent place. Even considered only from the point of usefulness, men, protects their properties, and works only for the safety, repose and orderliness

tectural dreams of a Boullée or the pensioners of the Académie. The glorification of size, geometric distillation, and ostentatious primitivism that are the constants of be: not so much dreams that can never be realized, but experimental models of a those projects assume concrete meaning when read in the light of what they want to Nor is Enlightenment realism belied by the gigantic-scale archi-

new method of design. From the unbridled symbolism of Ledoux or Lequeu to the geo-

metrical silence of Durand's typology, the process followed by the architecture of the Enlightenment remains consistent with the new ideological role it has assumed bourgeois city, dissolving into the uniformity ensured by preconstituted typologies. Architecture must redefine itself as it starts to become part of the structures of the

But this dissolution was not without its consequences. The one who took Laugier's theoretical insights to their extreme limit was Piranesi: his ambiguous evocation of to the late revolutionary ideologies, just as his Parere sull'architettum is its most pointed the Iconographia Campi Martii is a graphic monument to late Baroque culture's openness

literary testimony. In Piranesi's Campo Marzio there is no longer any loyalty to the

with ideological nostalgia and revolutionary expectation, but a myth to be contested, late Baroque principle of writty. Since Roman antiquity is not only a reference charged every form of classicist derivation is treated as mere fragment, deformed symbol,

broken hallucination of an "order" wasting away. ing. The Piranesian forst, like the sadistic atmospheres of his Prisms, shows that it is not multe dans l'ensemble, but indeed to a monstrous pullulation of symbols bereft of mean-The order in the details does not, therefore, lead simply to 11-

only the "sleep of reason" that produces monsters; "reason awake" can also create deformity, even when the goal at which it aims is the Sublime.

Campo Marzio. In it, the most advanced point of the Enlightenment imagination seems to warn, with sorrowful emphasis, of the danger lurking in the definitive loss of organic form: it is now the ideal of the Whole and the universal that has come There is a prophetic quality to the criticism implicit in Piranesi's

that would save it from total dissolution. Yet such an effort was undermined by all the lated, composite configurations. In the Ionographia Campi Martii we witness an epic replated, composite configurations. piecs of architecture assembled in the city. These fragments, in the city, were pittlessly an instance of superior organization, yet the configuration of the individual types resentation of the battle waged by architecture against itself. Typology is asserted as absorbed and deprived of all autonomy, despite their obstinate wish to assume articutends to destroy the very concept of typology; history is invoked as an inherent potential into doubt; formal invention seems to proclaim its own primacy, yet the obsessive repetition of the inventions seems to reduce the whole urban organism to "value," yet the paradoxical rejection of the archaeological reality casts its civilizing Architecture, however, could also strive to preserve a fullness

a sort of gigantic "useless machine."

lide with one another, indifferent even to the clash, while their accumulation attests technique of shock as its own foundation. The individual architectural fragments colattempting to absorb all of its own contradictions, architectural "reasoning" uses the to the uselessness of the inventive effort made to define their form. Rationalism would seem thus to reveal its own irrationality. In

> archaeological mask. Nor is it possible to define new constants of order through the Marzio fools nobody as to its reality as an experimental design hidden behind an itself be destroyed. proclaiming, emphatically, that the great, new problem is that of balancing opposites, translate the dialectics of contradiction into form; he must therefore limit himself to the appointed place for which must be the city, lest the very notion of architecture the irrational must cease to be mutually exclusive. Piranesi does not have the tools to act of designing. This colossal bricolage reveals only a single truth: that the rational and The city, here, remains an unknown quantity. Piranesi's Campo

ment would hasten, as they developed, to cover up. of a truth: a truth that the architectural culture and urban planning of the Enlightenlightenment" attains an unsurpassed potential, as well as an ideal tension so violent libertine Enlightenment literature in other respects—became, as such, the revelation that contemporaries could not grasp it as such. Piranesian exces—like the excesses of that assumes an epic tone in Piranesi's Campo Marzio. Here the "dialectics of the En-Essentially, it is the struggle between architecture and the city

Principi di architettura civile. Milizia writes: gier would make itself felt once again in the eclectic theorizations of Milizia, in his Nevertheless, the urban fragmentationism introduced on the ideological level by Lau-

not enough; the plan must be designed with taste and verve, so that order, whimsy, eurythmy and a multitude of squares of entirely different shape, size and decoration. be parallel, with three-street and four-street crossroads everywhere and in different positions, goose-foot, on one side in herringbone pattern, on the other like a fan; farther on they should and variety may coexist in equal measure: here the streets must radiate starlike, there like a One must have squares, intersections and broad, straight streets in great numbers. Yet this is A city is like a forest, whence it follows that the organization of a city is like that of a park.

what Milizia says next: It is impossible not to see the influence of a refined sensism in

and confusion, chaos and turnult in the whole. should be a varied picture of an infinity of chance occurrences; with great order in the details, He who knows not how to vary our pleasures, will never give us pleasure. [The city,] in short,

He continues:

something singular and surprising, in each quarter. Order must reign, but amidst a kind of same objects, and that, covering it from one end to the other, one always finds something new, an infinity of beautiful details, each so different from the other that one never encounters the irregularity and chaos, of the sort that so befits great Cities.8 confusion . . . and this multitude of regular parts must create, in the whole, a certain sense of The city's plan must be so arranged that the magnificence of the whole will be subdivided into

inorganic disunity. This is a far cry from the late Baroque precept of unity in warety, which had taken on mystical resonances in Shaftesbury. Order and chaos, regularity and irregularity, organic unity and

Such control was to be achieved by acting upon that very lack, not in order to change its structure, but to elicit from it a complex array of simultaneously present meanings tural debate was precisely this notion of control over a reality lacking organic structure. later, in a more moderate tone—Quatremère de Quincy contributed to the architec-What the writings of Laugier, Piranesi, Milizia, and—somewhat

Yet immediately the pressures of a rigorous traditionalism rose up against these hypotheses. Giovanni Antolini, in his commentary on Milizia's Principi, did not fail to and the picturesque implicit in the Woods, in Palmer's Bath, in the Edinburgh cresand the ideal example set by Galiani. And to counter the glorification of empiricism launch a few salvoes against the latter's theories, defending the authority of Vitruvius cents and in the 1803 plan for Milan, there was the strict rationalism of Muratti's

tion that occurred between Antolini and the members of the commission for the Bari and the new plans for St. Petersburg, Helsinki, and Turku. Of particular interest to our analysis is the intellectual opposi-

Napoleonic plan for Milan.

structure, as it had evolved over the course of history. The problem was that in so doing, they implicitly cast judgment on it. As a product of forces and events deterancient, preexisting parts—centers of obscurantism—and the new demolitions and was also something to be appraised in such a way that from the clash between the something to be rationalized and clarified in terms of its functions and its form. It Reformation, the complex historical fabric of the Lombard capital was, for them, mined by prejudice, myth, and the structures of feudalism and the Countervalid choice corresponding to a clear and unequivocal hypothesis of the city's destiny interventions—centers of duté and lumièrs—there would emerge an obvious and The commission had agreed to work dialectically with the city's

and physical structure. It is no accident that Antolini was among those opposed to the

ogy informing their interventions, Antolini was against such a dialogue. His project dialogue with the historical city and managed to dilute, in the city's fabric, the ideol-Napoleonic plan. While the Napoleonic commission in some fashion was open to a ing presence, sets itself the goal of transforming the entire urban structure while symbol loaded with absolute ideological values, and an urban locus which, as a totalizfor the Foro Bonaparte is, at once, a radical alternative to the history of the city, a giving back to architecture a communicative role of a peremptory nature.9

The antithesis is not incidental: indeed, it involves every aspect

new intellectual and functional message was the urban structure in and of itself. of the city's communicative role. For the 1803 commission, the protagonist of the For Antolini, on the other hand, the restructuring of the city

must be achieved by introducing a disruptive urban locus, capable of radiating induced effects that resist all contamination, into the network of its contradictory values. The city as a universe of discourse or system of communications can be summed

up, for Antolini, in an absolute, peremptory "message."

course of its history, which pits those attempting to dig down into the very bowels delineated. The dialectic is the same as that inherent in all of modern art over the who want to push beyond reality, to construct, ex now, new realities, new values, new of reality in order to know and assimilate its values and shortcomings, against those Thus we see the two paths of modern art and architecture already

public symbols.

tolini is the same as that which will later distinguish Monet from Cézanne, Munch from Braque, Schwitters from Mondrian, Häring from Gropius, Rauschenberg from The difference between the Napoleonic commission and An-

Between Laugier's "forest" and Antolini's aristocratic reserve, however, there was a ing in and controlling urban morphology. L'Enfant's plan for Washington and William third way, and it was destined to become the main force behind a new way of interven-Penn's for Philadelphia, for example, use new tools compared to European models.

> has already been analyzed on several different occasions, and this is clearly not the ment and the value structure typical of American society from its very beginnings place to reexamine this subject. 10 The relationship between these pragmatic schemas of develop-

a pragmatic approach entirely foreign to European culture. spurred the morphological transformation of the cities, controlling these forces with American cities since the mid-1700s is to have explicitly sided with the forces that The great historical merit of the urban planning adopted by

is situated in a context that is not formally conditioned by it. The American city governing the whole are strictly upheld. gives maximum articulation to the secondary elements that shape it, while the laws United States, absolute freedom is granted to the single architectural fragment, which the Americans to achieve a goal that the Europeans had been unable to realize. In the for an urban structure whose perpetual changeability is to be safeguarded, allowed Using a regular grid of arteries as the simple, flexible support

century and thereafter, found its way into the open grids of US cities. Free-trade credible wealth of expression that, especially in the second half of the nineteenth rigidity, a stable frame of reference. In this way the urban structure spurred the indom of figuration could be exploited, or rather, of ensuring, through its formal tion. The urban system was given only the task of asserting to what degree this free dividual buildings. Unlike what happened in St. Petersburg or Berlin, here the delphia, and later, New York—an architectural counterpart in the forms of the inethics thus met up with the pioneer myth. architecture was free to explore the most diverse and remote areas of communicaeach other. The geometric design of the plan does not seek—in Washington, Phila-Here urban planning and architecture are finally separated from

Form as Regressive Utopia

autonomous field of communicative experiences. tional concept of form. This arises from an awareness of the problem of the city as an and expectations of eighteenth-century architectural culture is the crisis of the tradi-Thus far, what emerges most clearly from our summary analysis of the experiences

temporary art would follow: the disarticulation of form and the inorganic nature of the institutional site of modern bourgeois society. was linked from the start to the problem of the new city that was soon to become structure. And it is not insignificant that the perception of these new formal values ment had already managed to formulate one of the principles that the path of con-From the very beginnings, the architecture of the Enlighten-

to find the proper paths by which it might follow the developments of urban reality so much to a true revolution of meaning, as to an acute crisis of values. The new nineteenth century would only aggravate the crisis, in the face of which art struggled dimensions presented by the problems of the industrial city over the course of the Yet the theorists' calls for a revision of formal principles led not

sented the proper response to the multiple disruptive stimuli produced by the new that eclecticism and linguistic pluralism, for nineteenth-century architects, repreby the exasperation of the "object" there before us, we rarely take into consideration urban dimension. When, looking at a "piece" of Victorian architecture, we are struck predominantly in architectural activity, without managing to find an outlet in the environment that technology's "universe of precision" had created On the other hand, the fragmentation of organic form occurred

of precision" with anything more than a confused "more or less" should not come The fact that architects were unable to respond to that "universe

as a surprise. In actuality it was the urban structure—precisely insofar as it registered changed dimension, becoming an open structure in which any search for a point of the conflicts that witnessed the victory of technological progress—that violently

equilibrium became a utopian proposition.

tion, is a stable structure, which gives form to permanent values and consolidates an Architecture, however, at least according to the traditional no-

urban morphology. Those who may wish to shatter this traditional notion and link

architecture with the destiny of the city, can only conceive of the city itself as the true in the nineteenth-century metropolises, which were organized as primary strucin some way, Piranesi's prophecy of the bourgeois city as an "absurd machine" comes thereby reducing architecture to a mere moment in the chain of production. And yet specific site of technological production and as a technological product in itself,

tures of capitalist economy. The "zoning" that presided over the growth of those metropo-

humanitarian derivation might well shed light on the itrationality of the industrial lises did not trouble, at first, to mask its own class character. Ideologies of radical or to the observer who entertained the illusion of being au desus de la réalité. Humanitarian city, but they forgot (not coincidentally) that such a world appeared irrational only gressive elements of the bourgeoisie themselves to pose the question of reconciling utopianism and radical critiques had one unexpected result: they convinced the pro-

rationality and irrationality. For all of the reasons elaborated thus far, this question would

is also familiar to the figurative arts of the nineteenth century in general, since the appear to be intrinsic to the formation of urban ideology. Taken in the abstract, it in and of itself—the very same ambiguity that Piranesi had taken to its highest very origin of Romantic eclecticism was a reassertion of ambiguity as a critical value

What had allowed Piranesi to give voice, through primitivistic

mouthpiece of the merciless concreteness of the commodified human environment, the sacred, is the same thing that allowed Romantic eclecticism to become the nostalgias and flights into the Sublime, to the terrifying prophecy of the eclipse of filling it with particles of already entirely worn-out values presented as such: as voiceless, false, bent in two, as if to show that no subjective force would ever again succeed

in recovering an authenticity forever lost. Nineteenth-century ambiguity lies entirely in the unrestrained

exhibition of a false conscience that strives for final ethical redemption by displaying ity, then the city is its specific field of application: Impressionist painting, in atits own inauthenticity. If the mania for collection is the sign and tool of this ambigutempting to redeem this ambiguity, will have to place itself at an observation point immersed in the urban structure but far removed from its meanings by the subtle distortions of lenses imitating an objective, scientific detachment.

traditional utopianism that the Enlightenment seemed to have eclipsed, the specific While the first political responses to this situation had their roots in a recovery of the responses of visual communication methods introduced a new type of utopia: that For this reason, the relationships between the whole current of nineteenth-century implicit in realized events, in the concreteness of constructed, verifiable "things." by modern historians between the utopias of Fourier, Owen, and Cabet, and the would remain very indirect. Indeed, we must consider the links normally established political utopianism and the ideas of the "modern movement," though plentiful, theoretical models of Unwin, Geddes, Howard, and Stein, on the one hand, and those of the Garnier-Le Corbusier current on the other, as hypotheses in need of

> upon and integral to the very phenomena they are supposed to explain." careful verification. In all likelihood, they will eventually be recognized as dependent

to revolve, had two immediate effects on the formation of the new urban ideologies: ist scholarship to the problem around which utopian thought is forever condemned It is clear, in any case, that the specific responses given by Marx-

the utopian notion; tural framework, it made evident the concrete failure to which utopias condemn themselves, revealing as well the secret desire for ruin implicit in the very birth of (1) By bringing the general questions back into a strictly struc-

sublimation of real phenomena, the virile acceptance of destiny—a cornerstone of form: the city. "destiny" had produced at all levels of social life and above all in its quintessential bourgeois ethics-could redeem the misery and impoverishment that this same very concept of destiny was a creation linked to the new relations of production; as a ing any direct effect on social destiny, it made it clear to bourgeois thinkers that the (2) by annulling the Romantic dream of subjective action's hav-

tectural ideology, in both its artistic and urban forms, was left with the utopia of ment." On the contrary, around the 1830s, realist utopianism and utopian realism matic moments in the formative development of the ideology of the "modern moveof mastering Disorder through Order. form as a project for recuperating the human Totality in the ideal Synthesis, as a way firmed ideology's surrender to the politics of things created by the laws of profit. Archibegin to overlap and complement each other. The decline of social utopianism con-The end of utopianism and the birth of realism are not auto-

specific to itself, modern architecture, as a whole, was able to create, even before the Project aimed at the reorganization of production, distribution and consumption ideological climate for fully integrating design, at all levels, into a comprehensive ity, the consequences of its already realized commodification. Starting from problems within the capitalist city. mechanisms and theories of Political Economy had created the instruments for it, an reality of production, was not only the first discipline to accept, with rigorous lucid-Architecture, therefore, insofar as it was directly linked to the

tal (from around 1901, the year of Tony Garnier's "industrial city" project, to around implies tracing a history that can be broken down into three successive phases: 1939, the year in which its crisis became palpable at all levels and in all sectors), thus Analyzing the course of the modern movement as an ideological instrument of capi-

ogy as a way of overcoming architectural Romanticism; (1) the first, which witnesses the formation of an urban ideol-

sculpture can realize only on an ideal level—to architecture and urban planning, the gardes as ideological projects and foregroundings of "unsatisfied needs" that are then only disciplines capable of realizing them in concrete form; handed over in that form—that is, as advanced goals that painting, poetry, music and (2) the second, which witnesses the rise of the artistic avant-

superfluous, or at least limited to fulfilling rearguard tasks of marginal importance. reorganization of capital, the ideological function of architecture began to appear crash of 1929, with the formulation of anticyclical theories and the international ogy of the Plan. This phase was, in turn, put into crisis and surpassed when, after the (3) the third, in which architectural ideology becomes the ideal-

points, in the hope of providing a methodological framework for future investigatreatment of this process; my intennon was only to highlight a few of its salient tions and more detailed analyses. The observations that follow do not pretend to any exhaustive

It is very important to underscore that in criticizing Engels's "moral reaction" to the subject of the spread of working-class conditions into the general urban structure. 12 urban crowd, Benjamin used the latter's observations as a way of introducing the One may disagree with the partiality with which Benjamin

relationship with the masses themselves. In judging Engels's and Hegel's reactions to he moves from Engels's description of the masses to his thoughts on Baudelaire's reads The Situation of the Working Class in England. What interests us is the way in which ban reality, Benjamin notes that the ease and nonchalance with which the Parisian be vestiges of a detachment from the new qualitative and quantitative aspects of urflineur moves through the crowd have become natural modes of conduct for the mod-

ern user of the metropolis.

No matter how great the distance which [Baudelaire] cared to keep from it, he still was colored by it and, unlike Engels, was not able to view it from without. . . . The masses had become so much a part of Baudelaire that it is rare to find a description of them in his works. \dots Baudemuch a part of is invariably overpopulated. It is this that makes him so superior to Barbier, whose descriptive invoke the ones in the form of the other. His crowd is always the crowd of a big city, his Paris laire describes neither the Parisians nor their city. Forgoing such descriptions enables him to method caused a rift between the masses and the city. In [Baudelaire's] Tableaux purisiens the secret presence of a crowd is demonstrable almost everywhere. 13

tions of production in the conduct of the "public," who use the city while being ever more generalized commodification at the very moment in which he discovers, Baudelaire, who is forced to recognize his own untenable position as participant in an unknowingly used by it, can be identified in the very presence of an observer, like through his own production, that the only unavoidable necessity for the poet hence-This presence—or rather, this immanence—of the real rela-

forth is prostitution.14

existing between uniformity and diversity. It is still too early yet to speak of a tenby Haussmann, marks a newly discovered awareness of the indissoluble dialectic versal expositions or the transformation of the urban morphology set in motion new bourgeois city. But one may speak of the tension between the forced commosion between the exception and the rule, especially as regards the structure of the dification of the object and the subjective attempts to recuperate—falsely—its The poetry of Baudelaire, like the products exhibited at the uni-

authenticity.

lot as mime—and this, incidentally, may explain why all the art of the time presents authentic is the search for the eccentric. It is not only the poet who must accept his own self-mystification—but the city itself, objectively structured as a machine for itself simultaneously as a deliberately "heroic" act and as a bluff, conscious of its extracting social surplus value, reproduces, in its own conditioning mechanisms, the reality of the industrial modes of production. The problem is that now the only way left in the search for the

arive in handicrafts—to the experience of shock typical of the urban condition. He Benjamin closely links the decline, in industrial labor, of skill and experience—still oper-

achieves with its Dodgem cars and other similar amusements is nothing but a taste of the drill has been sealed off from experience; practice counts for nothing there. What the Fun Fair The unskilled worker is the one most deeply degraded by the drill of the machines. His work to which the unskilled laborer is subjected in the factory—a sample which at times was for

> him the entire menu; for the art of being off center, in which the little man could acquire behavior is a reaction to shocks. "If jostled, they bowed profusely to the jostlers."15 stand the true connection between wildness and discipline. His pedestrians act as if they had [Benjamin here is referring to The Mon of the Crowd, translated by Baudelaire] makes us undertraining in places like the Fun Fair, flourished concomitantly with unemployment. Poe's text adapted themselves to the machines and could express themselves only automatically. Their

of both is equally devoid of substance."16 tion as a coup in a game of chance is from the one that preceded it, the drudgery of duct connected to the experience of shock and the technique of the game of chance the laborer is, in its own way, a counterpart to the drudgery of the gambler. The work "Since each operation at the machine is just as screened off from the preceding opera-There is, therefore, a profound affinity between the code of con-

ical Reproduction"—this invasion of the urban morphological structure by the tion of the city. modes of production with the response of the avant-garde movements to the queslink—either in his essays on Baudelaire or in "The Work of Art in the Age of Mechan-Despite the pointedness of his observations, Benjamin does not

the proper sense of the term, and as an instrument for coordinating the cycle of an end in itself. It is but one aspect of the ideology of the city as a productive unit in view of capital. But throughout the nineteenth century, such recreational-pedagogical spectacle, found a spatial and visual instrument for self-education from the point of production-distribution-consumption. to reveal the partiality of their propositions. The ideology of the public is not, in fact, versal expositions, were clearly places in which the crowd, in becoming its own experiences, being centered around exceptional architectural typologies, continued The arcades and large department stores of Paris, like the uni-

States, published two issues of the review Das Andere devoted to introducing, in an to the public as an ideology of the correct use of the city. (It might be pertinent to recall ironic, polemical tone, new, "modern" modes of urban conduct into the Viennese to the symptomatic example of Loos, who in 1903, upon his return from the United here how important the question of conduct was to the European avant-gardes, and an isolated or subsequent moment of the organization of production, must offer itself This is why the ideology of consumption, far from constituting

as in Baudelaire—into a painful awareness of participation, it served to generalize an to make its own contribution. and only at this moment, that the linguistic revolution of modern art was summoned operative reality, but did not contribute to its advancement. It was at this moment Until the moment the experience of the crowd was translated—

whole, by the avant-gardes of the twentieth century. foundation for visual codes and codes of action borrowed from already established interclass and therefore antibourgeois ideology: such are the tasks taken on, as a for the object-commodity), to involve the public, as a unified whole, in a declaredly multaneity of communications, accelerated rhythms of use, eclecticism—to reduce characteristics of the capitalist metropolis—rapidity of change and organization, si-To remove the experience of shock from all automatism, to use that experience as the the structure of artistic experience to the status of pure object (an obvious metaphor

trial production: continuous technical revolution is their very essence. For all the avant-gardes arose and followed one another according to the laws typical of indusconstructivism and protest art. Cubism, Futurism, Dada, De Stijl, all the historic To repeat: as a whole—that is, beyond any distinction between

tral field into which the experience of shock, suffered in the city, was projected. Indeed, And since assembled objects belong to the real world, the painting became the neuavant-gardes—and not just in painting—the law of assemblage was fundamental but how one should absorb it and internalize it as an inevitable condition of the problem now became that of teaching not how one should "suffer" that shock,

tion could not even be raised: since they were interpreting something necessary and avant-gardes did not raise the question of appealing to the public. Indeed, the quesverse of conventions explicitly posited as "natural." Herein lies the reason why the ing full well that their break with the past was the fundamental condition for their universal, the avant-gardes could easily accept being temporarily unpopular, knowworth as models for action. The laws of production thus came to form part of a new uni-

seek either instruments of mediation—and thus all artistic production had to accept of the modern bourgeoisie, but at the same time it was the absolute that gave rise to Art as model for action: this was the great guiding principle of the artistic uprising new, irrepressible contradictions. Life and art having proved antithetical, one had to problematics as the new ethical horizon—or ways by which art might pass into life,

even at the cost of realizing Hegel's prophecy of the death of art.

an absolute "type" undoubtedly had its own internal consistency (even if this is not the "modern movement," which as a program for modeling the "bourgeois man" as Criticism, problematics, programmatics: such are the pillars on which was founded of an art no longer universalizing and not yet bourgeois serves to shed light on the problem. how our initial consideration of Piranesi as both theorist and critic of the conditions art together in a single whole become more concretely manifest. We can now see It is here that the links holding the great tradition of bourgeois

the same consistency recognized by current historians).

grams," even though the first organizes an architectural dimension and the second a etching uses preformed historical materials and Picasso's painting, artificial materials mode of human behavior. Both use the technique of shock, even though Piranesi's a machine-universe, even though the eighteenth-century urban project makes that universe abstract and recoils in horror from its discovery, while Picasso's canvas works (as later Duchamp, with greater rigor, would also do). Both discover the reality of Both Piranesi's Campo Marzio and Picasso's Dame au violon are "pro-

entirely within it.

elaboration of form, a reality that could still have been considered wholly particular. salize," through an excess of truth attained with the tools of a profoundly critical and the definitive repudiation, by reality itself, of all representation. The painter can as new means of communication by Duchamp, ratify the self-sufficiency of reality But the "program" inherent in the Cubist painting goes well beyond the canvas itself. only analyze this reality. His supposed dominion over form merely covers up some-The "ready-made" objects introduced in 1912 by Braque and Picasso, and codified thing that he does not want to accept: that henceforth it is form that dominates the More importantly, however, both Piranesi and Picasso "univer-

subjective reactions within the objective universe of production. Cubism, as a whole, tends to define the laws of these reactions: it is symptomatic that Cubism began with with apprehension). As a "program," what Cubism wanted to create was a mode of the subjective and led to an absolute rejection of it (as Apollinaire would realize, behavior. Its antinaturalism, however, contained nothing that might persuade the Except that now "form" has to be understood as the logic of

> is outside of and superimposed upon the one to whom we are addressing ourselves Cubism's intention was instead to demonstrate the reality of the "new nature" credom coincide. ated by capital, and its necessary, universal character, in which necessity and freepublic; we persuade someone only when we maintain that the object of persuasion

consequences, not causes, of their fundamental choices. the linguistic universe of the civilisation machiniste. Primitivism and antihistoricism are greater extent Juan Gris adopt the technique of assemblage; to give absolute form to This is why the canvases of Braque, Picasso, and to an even

one could easily speak of the fetishization of the art object and its mystery. and De Stijl are explicit invitations to action. In writing about their artistic products, As techniques for analyzing a totalizing universe, both Cubism

of Baudelaire's flâneur must be overcome and translated into active participation in the ing-now reduced to a mere model of behavior-would have to die. the city as the final object at which Neoplasticist composition aimed; yet he would avant-gardes tried to measure up. Mondrian would later have the courage to "name" precisely because it was continually presupposed—the reference value to which the Futurist "slaps," nor the nihilism of Dada referred specifically, but which remained urban scene. The city itself is the object to which neither the Cubist paintings, nor the actively into the universe of precision dominated by the laws of production. The passivity be forced to acknowledge that once it was translated into the urban structure, paint-The public had to be provoked. That was the only way people could be inserted

product could be accentuated by the poet's very attempt to free himself from nal—it, too, idealized, and drawn from the city—into the structures of artistic through the idealizing of its contradictions, or the violent insertion of the irratiowere two paths for the suicide of art: silent immersion in the structures of the city human sincerity. De Stijl and, to an even greater extent, Dada, discovered that there objective conditions: the prostitution of the artist follows the moment of his greatest Baudelaire discovered that the commodification of the poetic

critique formulated by Dada, however, ended up becoming a tool for controlling century movements and the more "constructive" ones. context, the many points of tangency between this most destructive of twentiethdesign. It should come as no surprise when one encounters, even in a philological Dada wanted to give apocalyptic expression to its inherent absurdity. The nihilist De Stijl became a mode of formal control of production, while

manner, the latter in eclectic fashion—introduced the ideology of the plan into a design of the automatism and commodification of "values" now spread to all levels of existhrough absurdity, demonstrated the necessity of the plan without ever naming it. method that was ever more deeply linked to the city as a productive structure. Dada, tence by capitalist advancements? De Stijl and Bauhaus—the former in a sectarian terials and its anti-programmatic position, if not sublimations, in spite of everything Indeed what are Dada's ferocious dismantling of linguistic ma-

particular expressions of the anarchic spirit, De Stijl and Bauhaus did not hesitate to be noted, assumed all the characteristics of an ethical choice. present themselves as global alternatives to political praxis. Alternatives that, it should parties' model of action as their own. While Dada and Surrealism can be seen as All the historic avant-gardes, moreover, adopted the political

De Stijl opposed Chaos, the empirical, and the everyday with the principle of Form Theirs was a Form that takes into account the thing that concretely renders reality

formless, chaotic and impoverished. The horizon of industrial production, which spiritually impoverishes the world, was dismissed as a value in itself, but subsequently transformed into a new value through its sublimation. The Neoplasticist dismaniling of elementary forms corresponded to the discovery that the "new wealth" of the Spirit could no longer be sought outside of the "new poverty" subsumed by the civilization of the machine; the disjointed recomposition of those elementary forms then sublimated the mechanical universe, demonstrating that there can no longer be any form of recovery of the whole (of being as of art) that does not derive

from the problematics of form itself.

Dada, on the other hand, plunged into Chaos. Representing chaos confirmed its reality; by mocking it, they posited a need and decried the fact that it was unfulfilled. This need was the very same control of the Formless that De Stijl, all the various European constructivist currents, and even mineteenth-century formalist aesthetics—from Sichtarbeit on—had embraced as the new frontier of visual communications. It is hardly surprising, therefore, that Dada's Anarchy and De Stijl's Order should have met and converged, in a theoretical context, in the review Mécano, and in an operative context, in the formulation of the instruments of a new syntax.

Chaos and Order were thus sanctioned by the historic avantgardes as the "values," in the proper sense of the term, of the new city of capital.

Chaos, of course, is a given, while Order is a goal. Yet Form henceforth should not be sought beyond Chaos, but within it: it is Order that confers meaning on Chaos and translates it into value, into "freedom." To redeem the form-lessness of the city of profit-ruled consumption, one must draw upon all its progressive valences. And it is the Plan that the avant-gardes called upon to carry out this maieutic task, before discovering at once that they were incapable of giving it any concrete form.

It was at this point that architecture was able to enter the scene, by absorbing and overcoming all the demands of the historic avant-gardes—and indeed by throwing them into crisis, since architecture alone was in a position to provide real answers to the demands made by Cubism, Futurism, Dada, De Stijl, and all the various Constructivisms and Productivisms.

The Bauhaus, as the decantation chamber of the avant-gardes, fulfilled this historic task: it selected from among all the contributions of the avant-gardes, testing them against the demands of the reality of industrial production. Design, as a method of organizing production more than of configuring objects, did away with the utopian vestiges inherent in the poetics of the avant-gardes. Ideology was no longer superimposed on activity—which was now concrete because it was connected to real cycles of production—but was inherent in the activity itself.

But design too, despite its realism, presented unsatisfied demands; and in the impetus it gave to the organization of enterprises and production, it too contained a hint of utopianism. (This utopia, however, served the goal of reorganizing production, a goal its promoters fully intended to achieve.) The Plan embraced by the leading architectural movements (the term "avant-garde" is no longer applicable), starting with Le Corbusier's Plan Woisin (1925) and the stabilization of the Bauhaus (around 1921), contained the following contradiction: starting from the building sector, architectural culture discovered that only by linking that sector to the reorganization of the city could presentablished goals be satisfactorily met. But this was equivalent to saying that, just as the demands presented by the avant-gardes had pointed to the visual communications sector most directly entrenched in the economic process (i.e., architecture and industrial design), so the planning formulated by architectural and urban theorists likewise pointed toward something other than itself: to wit, toward a restructuring of production and consumption in general—toward a plan for apital, in other words. In this sense, architecture—starting with it-

TAFURI | 1969 | 21

self—mediated between realism and utopia. The utopia lay in stubbornly continuing to hide the fact that the ideology of planning could be realized in building production only by making clear that the true Plan could only take shape beyond this sector; and that, indeed, once the Plan came within the scope of the general reorganization of production, architecture and urban planning would become its objects, not its subjects.

Architectural culture, in the 1920s, was not ready to accept such consequences. What it understood most clearly was its own "political" task. It was a question of architecture (read: the planned reorganization of building production and the city as a productive organism) over Revolution. Le Corbusier articulated this choice very clearly, and it is also implicit in the writings of others such as Mondrian and Gropius.

In the meanwhile, starting with the most politically engaged circles—from the Novembergruppe, to the review G, to the Berlin Ring—architectural culture defined itself technically. Accepting with lucid objectivity all the avant-garde's apocalyptic conclusions as to the "death of art" and the purely "technical" role of the intellectual, the Central European Neue Sachlichkeit adapted the very method of design to the idealized structure of the assembly line. The forms and methods of industrial labor became part of the organization of design and were reflected in the proposed use of the object.

From the standardized part and the cell to the single block, the Siellung, and finally to the city: such is the assembly line that architectural culture devised between the wars with exceptional clarity and consistency. Each "piece" in the line is fully resolved and tends to disappear or, better yet, to dissolve formally in the assembly.

The result of all this was the revolutionization of the aesthetic experience itself. No longer is it objects that presented themselves for appraisal, but an entire process, to be experienced and used as such. The user, called upon to fill the "open" spaces of Mies van der Rohe or Gropius, is the central element in this process. Architecture, in calling upon the public to participate in the design—since the new forms were no longer individualistic absolutes but proposals for organizing community life, as in Gropius's integrated architecture—forced the ideology of the public to make a leap forward. The dream of Morris's romantic socialism—an art made by all for all—here takes ideological form within the ironclad laws of profit. In this respect, too, the ultimate test for the theoretical hypothesis would be the city.

"Radical" Architecture and the City

In his fundamental work Grossstadturchitektur, Ludwig Hilberseimer writes:

The architecture of the large city depends essentially on the solution given to two factors: the elementary cell and the urban organism as a whole. The single room, as the constitutive element of the dwelling, will determine its appearance, and since the dwellings in turn form blocks, the room will become a factor in the urban configuration, representing architecture's true goal. Likewise the planimetric structure of the city will have a substantial influence on the design of the dwelling and the room.¹⁷

The large city is, therefore, a true unity. Reading beyond the author's actual intentions, we may translate his assertions as follows: It is the whole modern city itself which has structurally become an enormous "social machine." This is the aspect of urban economics that Hilberseimer, like almost all the German theorists of the twenties and thirties, chose to isolate in order to analyze and resolve its component parts separately. What he writes on the relationship between the cell

and the urban organism is exemplary for its lucidity of exposition and for its skillful reduction of problems to their essential aspects. The cell is not only the first element in the continuous production line whose ultimate product is the city; it is also the in the continuous production line whose ultimate product is the city; it is also the element that determines the dynamics of building aggregations. Its value as type element that foundational structure of a production program from which all further represents the foundational structure of a production program from which all further represents the foundational structure of a production program from which all further longer an "object" now. It is only the place in which the individual cells, through longer an "object" now. It is only the place in which the individual cells, through longer an isometry assembly, assume physical form. As infinitely reproduction line that these units conceptually embody the primary structures of a production line that these units conceptually embody the primary structures of a production line that these units conceptually embody the primary structures of a production line that these units conceptually embody the primary structures of a production line that these units conceptually embody the primary structures of a production line that these units conceptually embody the primary structures of a production line that these units conceptually embody the primary structures of a production line that these units conceptually embody the primary structures of a production line that these units conceptually embody the primary structures of a production line that these units conceptually embody the primary structures of a production line that these units conceptually embody the primary structures of a production line that these units conceptually embody the primary structures of a production line that these units conceptually embody the primary structures of a production line that these units conceptually embody the primary structure of

typology of the cell. ¹⁸
In the rigid articulation of the production plan, the specific dimension of architecture, in the traditional sense of the term, disappears. As an "exmension of architecture, in the traditional sense of the term, disappears.

ception" to the homogeneity of the city, the architectural object has been completely dissolved. Hilberseimer writes:

As great masses have to be shaped according to a general law, dominated by multiplicity. . . . the general case, the rule, is emphasized while the exception is set aside, the nuance obliterated. Measure reigns, forcing chaos to become form, logical, univocal, mathematical form.'

And again:

The need to shape a heterogeneous and often gigantic mass of materials in accordance with a formal law equally valid for each element implies a reduction of architectural form to its most formal, necessary, general need: a reduction, that is, to cubic, geometrical forms, which represent the basic elements of all architecture. 10

This is not a "purist" manifesto, but a logical conclusion drawn from hypotheses that hew stubbornly to the scientific method in their conceptual elaboration. By not offering "models" for design, but rather presenting the coordinates and dimensions of the design at the most abstract (because the most general) level possible, Hilberseimer reveals—more than do Gropius, Mies, or Bruno Taut level possible, Hilberseimer reveals—that new tasks the capitalistic reorganization of Europe around the same time—to what new tasks the capitalistic reorganization.

was summoning its architects. In the face of modernized production techniques and the In the face of modernized production techniques and the expansion and rationalization of the market, the architect, as producer of "objects," expansion and rationalization of the market, the architect, as producer of giving form to single became an incongruous figure. It was no longer a question of giving form to single became an incongruous figure. It was no longer a question of giving form to single elements of the urban fabric, nor even to simple prototypes. Once the true unity of elements of the production cycle has been identified in the city, the only task the architect can have is to organize that cycle. Taking the proposition to its extreme conclusion, Hilhave is to organize that cycle. Taking the proposition to its extreme conclusion, Hilhave is to organize that cycle. Taking the proposition and the new task of that fully reflects the need for Taylorizing building production and the new task of that fully reflects the need for Taylorizing building production and the new task of the technician, who is now completely integrated into this process.

On the basis of this position, Hilberseimer was able to avoid On the basis of this position, Hilberseimer was able to avoid involvement in the "crisis of the object" so anxiously articulated by such architects involvement in the "crisis of the object" was not in crisis because it had already as Loos or Taut. For Hilberseimer, the "object" was not in crisis because it had already as Loos or Taut. For Hilberseimer, the "object" was not in crisis because it had already as Loos or Taut. For Hilberseimer, the "object" was not in crisis because it had already as Loos or Taut. For Hilberseimer, the "object" was not in crisis because it had already as Loos or Taut. For Hilberseimer, the "object" was not in crisis because it had already as Loos or Taut. For Hilberseimer, the "object" was not in crisis because it had already as Loos or Taut. For Hilberseimer, the "object" was not in crisis because it had already as Loos or Taut. For Hilberseimer, the "object" was not in crisis because it had already as Loos or Taut. For Hilberseimer, the "object" was not in crisis because it had already as Loos or Taut. For Hilberseimer, the "object" was not in crisis because it had already as Loos or Taut. For Hilberseimer, the "object" was not in crisis because it had already as Loos or Taut. For Hilberseimer, the "object" was not in crisis because it had already as Loos or Taut. For Hilberseimer is greatest contribution.

What, on the other hand, has not been appreciated is Hilberseimer's utter refusal to consider architecture as an instrument of knowledge. Even Mies van der Rohe was divided on this issue. In the houses on the Afrikanische Strasse in Berlin, he is rather close to Hilberseimer's positions, while in the Weissenhofsiedlung of Stuttgart, he wavers in his approach. In the project for the curvilinear, glass and steel skyscraper, however, and in the monument to Karl Liebknecht and Rosa Luxemburg, the 1935 housing project, and finally even in the Tugendhat house, he explores what margins of the reflective approach still remained to the architect.

It is of little interest to us, here, to follow the inner workings of this dialectic, which was rife throughout the modern movement. We should, however, note that a good number of the contradictions and obstacles that the movement found in its path arose from the attempt to separate technical propositions and cognitive aims.

Ernst May's plan for Frankfurt, Martin Wagner's Berlin, Fritz Schumacher's Hamburg, and Cornelis van Eesteren's Amsterdam are the most important chapters in the history of modern urban planning. Yet beside the oases of order that were the Siedlungen—true constructed utopias, on the margins of an urban reality little affected by them—the old cities continued to accumulate and multiply their contradictions. And for the most part, these contradictions would soon appear more vital than the tools established by the architectural milieu to control them.

The architecture of Expressionism succeeded in absorbing the ambiguous vitality of these contradictions. The Viennese Hife and the public buildings of Poelzig or Mendelsohn were clearly foreign to the new methodologies of urban intervention of the avant-garde movements. These experiences refused in numerous ways to be situated within the new horizons discovered by an art that accepted its own "mechanical reproduction" as a means toward bearing upon human behavior. Still, like such art, they seemed to assume a critical value, specifically in regard to the growth of the modern industrial cities.

Works such as Poelzig's Schauspieltheater in Berlin, Fritz Höger's Chilehaus and other Hamburg buildings, and the Berlin buildings of Hans Hertlein and Ernst and Günther Paulus, certainly did not constitute a new urban reality. But by exasperating already existing forms through an excess of pathos, they commented on the contradictions of the operative reality.

The two poles of Expressionism and the Neue Sachlichkeit once again symbolized the inherent rift in European culture.

Between the destruction of the object, its replacement by a process intended to be experienced as such (a transformation effected by the artistic revolution brought about by the Bauhaus and the Constructivist currents) and the exasperation of the object (typical of the lacerating but ambiguous eclecticism of the Expressionists), there could be no real dialogue.

Yet let us not be deceived by appearances. This was a clash between intellectuals who reduced their own ideological potential to the orchestration of up-to-date programs for a production system in the process of reorganization, and intellectuals whose work involved exploiting the backwardness of European capitalism. The subjectivism of Häring or Mendelsohn, in this sense, assumes a critical import in regard to the Taylorism of Hilberseimer or Gropius; but objectively speaking, it is a critique made from a rearguard position that is therefore incapable, by its very nature, of proposing universal alternatives.

Mendelsohn's self-publicizing architecture involved the creation of persuasive "monuments" in the service of commercial capital, while Hāring's intimism played on the late Romantic tendencies of the German bourgeoisie. Still, to

tirely off the mark, even if such a point of view is tenable only from within this cycle. present the dialectic of twentieth-century architecture as a unitary cycle is not en-The rejection of contradiction as a premise for objectivity and

and the intellectual project. In this sense, it is no accident that May, Wagner, and Taut democratic architects of central Europe was the unification of administrative power the rationalization of planning revealed its own partiality at the very moment when the conflict between parasitic mechanisms of ground rent, which impeded the different categories of problems should find their solutions in it: first and foremost cities. If the entire city was now to assume the structure of an industrial machine, should have assumed political offices in the administrations of social democratic architecture came closest to the political power structures. The very goal of the social to organize, comprehensively, the machine-city by giving it a role in stimulating its expansion and technological revolutionization of the building market, and the need

choices themselves were only variables dependent on it. All of May's work in Franktural science became fully integrated into the ideology of the plan, while formal programmed within the urban sphere—were indissolubly interconnected. Architecthe land and systems of industrialized construction modeled on production cycles economic and technological premises on which it was based—public ownership of modeling of the Siellungen and their displacement within the city to lands directly within this system was centered around the service nucleus (the Fankfurter Küche). The of production identified in the Siedlung. The primary element of the industrial cycle ture. The industrialization of the construction site conformed to the minimum unit furt can be read as the highest expression of this concrete "politicization" of architectural approval to, and made "real," the political objectives wholly embraced by administered by the city government were made possible by city policies. It was at this point that the formal model of the Siedlung, because of its flexibility, granted cul-The architectural project, the urban model it spawned, and the

be noted, however, that the task befalling this concurrence of political and intellectual constructed socialism. We, instead, should read them as realized social democracy. It must the contradictions of a city that had not been regulated or restructured as an or-Sidling was mirrored in the fragmented nature of the intervention, which left intact was clearly reflected in the structure of the city itself: the closed economy of the authority was merely that of mediating between structures and superstructures. This Nazi propaganda would later speak of the Frankfurt quarters as

restricted to specific areas tended, in this relationship, to present themselves as highly lectuals, advanced sectors of capital, and political administrations. While solutions the 1920s lay precisely in the fiduciary relationship established between leftist intelefficacy when put to the test. experiments, formal elaborations of the Siedlung typology—they revealed their limited generalized models—policies of eminent domain and expropriation, technological The utopianism of the Central European architectural culture of

of a production machine, and to produce the appearance of universal proletarianization. (The interclassism of central European urban planning projects was a goal tion within the urban structure and the mechanisms of distribution and consumptended to reproduce the factory model at the social level, to give the city the "shape May's Frankfurt, like Mächler's and Wagner's Berlin, certainly

continually proposed by theorists.) nature and those means of production confined within the sphere of a new "human posed "new synthesis" and an obvious sign of the thrilling collective dominion over But the unity of the urban image, a formal metaphor of the pro-

TAFURI | 1969 | 25

a theoretical constant of this sort reproduced in the city the disaggregate form of the early technological production line: the city remained an aggregate of parts functionvention to be applied universally. The model of the Siedlung is one such example. Yes into specific urban and regional planning policies, they fashioned models of interutopia, was never realized by the German and Dutch intellectuals. Strictly integrated class quarter—the unification of methods soon proved to be a rather uncertain tool ally unified at the lowest level, and even within each single "piece"—the working

ical moment in which one of the most serious ruptures within the "modern moveyet acknowledged the famous Berlin Siedlung, planned by Scharoun, as a crucial histor-1930, in Berlin's Siemensstadt. It is quite incredible that modern historians have not The crisis, in the specific area of architecture, came to a head in

traditionally associated with the "piece" of architecture was consummated in the icism of Hāring. If, to use Benjamin's terminology, "the destruction of the aura as an assembly line, there are the allusive ironies of Scharoun and the ostentatious organproduction and formal structures. ing an "aura," however much this aura might be conditioned by the new modes of ideology of the Siedlung, Scharoun's and Häring's "objects" aimed instead at recuperatcontrast to Gropius and Bartning, who remained loyal to the conception of the Siedlung process of the whole reflects the contradictions of the modern movement itself. In Forbat showed that the dissolution of the architectural object within the formative deformations of Klee, such architects as Bartning, Gropius, Scharoun, Haring, and the basis of an urban design that some, perhaps correctly, have ascribed to the ironic design, in its different dimensional scales, could possess methodological unity. On Siemensstadt revealed the utopian character of the premise that

that of founding a city of a single tendency—decidedly entered a state of crisis dam, between 1930 and 1940 the ideal of the European constructivist movements orous of its kind. In fact, with the exception of Cornelis van Eesteren's plan for Amster-The Siemensstadt episode, moreover, was merely the most clam-

architecture. ist city—improbability, polyfunctionality, multiplicity and lack of organic structure -remained outside the analytical rationalization pursued by central European All the contradictory aspects assumed by the modern capital-

The Crisis of Utopia: Le Corbusier at Algiers

ning coincides with the maximum "productivity of the spirit": such were the of the CIAM; (3) by exploiting the articulation of form at its highest level in order tion and urban planning with civil reorganization programs through the institution industry; (2) by pursuing the search for an authority capable of reconciling construcaddressing an appel aux industriels, and a choice of building typologies, to business and to specific sectors), must articulate their activity in three different directions: (1) by this civilisation, architects, in anticipating and determining its plans (however limited tive linking intellectual initiative and la civilisation machiniste. As the advance guard of designer of objects. This statement of Le Corbusier's was not a slogan, but an imperafactors of the cycle, in addition to production itself. The architect is an organizer, not a zation of production, it was also true that distribution and use were also determinant modern architecture had to fight. If architecture was now synonymous with organi-European architectural culture at the time, ever aware of the triple front on which objectives that Le Corbusier delineated, with a lucidity unparalleled within progressive lationship between them, to demonstrate that the highest level of productive planto reconcile organic structure and disorganization by exacerbating the dialectical re-To absorb that multiplicity, to temper the improbable with the certainty of the plan, to make the public an active and conscious user of the architectural product

To be more precise: form assumed the task of making the unnat-

ural world of technological precision authentic and natural. And since this world tended to subjugate nature as a whole in an ongoing process of transformation, the entire anthropogeographic landscape became, for Le Corbusier, the living subject on which

the reorganized cycle of building production must lay its emphasis.

ground rent, perilously obstructed the development of civilization, the expression and appraisal of production, and the "human" yield of this expansion. vidualism in enterprise, and the persistence of archaic income mechanisms such as But Le Corbusier also discovered that financial prudence, indi-

search" conducted between 1919 and 1929, arrived at precise scales and tools of Three Million Inhabitants, and the Plun Voisin for Paris, Le Corbusier, in a "patient With the typological formulation of the Dom-ino unit, the Immube-ville, the City for periments—and went beyond the models of German "rationalism," intuiting the intervention, tested general hypotheses in partial realizations—seen as laboratory ex-

correct dimension in which the urban question must be considered. Between 1929 and 1931, with the plans for Montevideo, Bue-

this day remains unsurpassed on both the ideological and formal levels. formulated the most advanced theoretical hypothesis of modern urbanism, which to nos Aires, São Paulo, and Rio, and finally with the Obus plan for Algiers, Le Corbusier

In contrast to Taut, May, and Gropius, Le Corbusier broke the

in itself, as a physical and functional unity, became the repository of a new scale of unbroken associative chain of architecture-neighborhood-city. The urban structure values; it was to the dimension of the landscape itself that one should look for the

meaning of its communications. ready-made objects to which the new structure redefining them would offer a prel'Empéreur, and the coastal inlets as raw materials to be reused, veritable, gigantic conditioning must be accompanied by a maximum of freedom and flexibility. The viously nonexistent unity, overturning their original significations. Yet this maximum economic premise of the entire operation was clear: the Obus plan would not limit organic reorganization of what would thereby become an urban system in the proper anarchy of land accumulation, would make the whole area available for the unitary, itself to demanding a new "territorial statute" that, by overcoming the early capitalist sense of the term. At Algiers, Corbusier took the old Casbah, the hills of Fort-

topological field (as the Cubists, Futurists, and Elementarists well understood). In there; its natural sphere of operations is the whole human environment—a purely hierarchy. The technological universe does not distinguish between the hat and the ing the concept of mass production is the radical notion of overcoming all spatial The industrial object does not presuppose any univocal situation in space. Underlyit is now the whole three-dimensional space that must become available to be shaped the reorganization of the city, the full availability of the terrain is no longer enough: city must be distinguished: the cycles of production and consumption. by a planned technologization. Thus two levels of intervention within the unified

urban machine: on this scale, technological structures and transportation systems must running at the highest level of the serpentine block designed for the workers' resiat various levels, and the exceptional nature of the road network—the superhighway constitute a unitary "image" in which the antinaturalism of the terrains artificies laid out landscape thus corresponds to the need to rationalize the total organization of the dences—take on a symbolic meaning. The housing blocks of Fort-l'Empéreur, in their formal freedom, assume the values emblematic of the Surrealist avant-garde; The restructuring of the entire urban space and surrounding

> an abstract, sublimated "dance of contradictions."11 blages of the Beistegui attic on the Champs-Elysées—are enormous objects that enact the rounded buildings—like the free forms inside the Villa Savoye or the ironic assen-

of a higher human consciousness. identified with the rigor of the plan and latter with the recuperation, within the plan, reign of necessity merge with the reign of freedom-even though the former is violent tensions. Only through the structure of the image, and in no other way, can the reconciliation of the problematic with the rational, and the "heroic" resolution of into an organic unity—what emerges is the positive nature of the contradictions, the Even at the level of the urban structure—here finally resolved

indirect stimulus.11 in fact produce an obscure result—although there is certainly no saying that Le Cortive, intellectual participation. An "inattentive reading" of the urban images would worth noting, however, that here this involvement is predicated on a critical, reflecinterpretation, Le Corbusier's Algiers entails the total involvement of the public. It is whole. The formal and functional dynamic is inescapable: at every level of use and poétique, however, are now connected with one another within a dialectical, organic busier did not perhaps intend this secondary effect as well, as a necessary moment of Le Corbusier, too, uses the technique of shock: the objets à réaction

gogical act and a tool of collective integration. l'Empéreur—to express its own "bad taste." Architecture thus becomes both a pedaedifice uncoiling itself along the sea, and the bourgeoisie, up on the hills of Fortthat it will allow this same public—the proletariat, in the case of the serpentine of fixed structures. The "freedom" granted to the public must be pushed so far so far as to imagine the insertion of eccentric, eclectic elements within the meshes come active planners of the city: in one illustrative sketch, Le Corbusier actually goes made up of superimposed termins artificiels. To the public, this is an invitation to betial elements is made possible within the meshes of the larger structures, which are and possibility of rapid use. The broadest freedom of insertion of preformed residensingle residential cell—the goal is to gain a maximum flexibility, interchangeability, anxiety by internalizing its causes." At the lowest level of production—that of the Le Corbusier's point, however, cannot be reduced to "dispelling

created by the renovation of the residential models and standards dictated of expansion. The residential cell, theoretically usable in a very short time, can be replaced with every change that occurs in individual needs—the needs, that is, lution, styling, and rapid use—needs dictated by an active capitalism in the process the individual object, one must consider the need for continuous technological revolize the minimum production unit in standard functional elements. On the level of greater significance. Unlike May in his Frankfurter Küche, Le Corbusier does not crystal-For industry, on the other hand, this freedom assumes even

a critical participant in its own creative role. Through theoretically homogeneous come involved in the impetuous, "exalting" process of continuous development and the most extreme of its implicit possibilities. transformation. From the reality of production to the image and the use of the image, functions, the vanguard of industry, the "authorities," and the users of the city be-The subject of the urban reorganization is a public that is called upon and made the whole urban machine pushes the "social" potential of the civilisation machiniste to In this light, the significance of the project becomes quite clear

Corbusier, remain a dead letter? Is there not perhaps a contradiction between what plans tor European and African cities, and even the smaller projects advanced by Le An obvious question now arises: Why is it that the Algiers project, the subsequent

we have said—that is, that these projects should be seen as the most advanced and formally elevated hypothesis of bourgeois culture in the field of design and urban

planning to this day—and the failures experienced firsthand by Le Corbusier? Many answers may be given to this question, all of them valid

worked as an "intellectual" in the strict sense. He did not become associated—like and complementary. Above all, however, we should remember that Le Corbusier Taut, May, or Wagner-with local government powers. His hypotheses start from specific realities (the physical geography and historical stratification of Algiers are, of those circumstances); but the method guiding them is broadly applicable on a gencourse, exceptions, and the form of the plan taking these into account is unique to eral scale. From the particular to the universal: the exact opposite of the method that in Algiers, Le Corbusier worked without a commission and without pay for more followed by the intellectuals of the Weimar social democracy. Nor is it coincidental than four years. He "invented" his commission and made it universal, ever willing

to finance his own active and creative role. As a result, his models have all the characteristics of laboratory

But that is not all. In this case, the universal applicability of the hypothesis clashed experiments: and in no case can a laboratory model be translated tout court into reality. is a revolutionization of architecture in keeping with the most advanced functions of with the backward structures that it was supposed to stimulate. When what is needed form, it should hardly come as a surprise if realistic hypotheses are seen as utopian. an economic and technological reality still incapable of giving it coherent, organic But the failure of Algiers, and Le Corbusier's "failure" in gen-

eral, cannot only be correctly understood when seen in the context of the interna-

tional crisis of modern architecture.

of modern architecture. They place the beginnings of the crisis in the years around It is interesting to look at how modern historians have attempted to explain the crisis Capitalist Development Confronts Ideology duction, throughout the world, immediately after the economic crisis of 1929, of a hand, and Stalinism on the other. In so doing, they systematically ignore the introinitial "blame" for the crisis they lay at the feet of the Fascisms of Europe on the one 1930, and generally consider its exacerbation to continue to this day. Nearly all the new and decisive factor: the international reorganization of capital and the establish-

ment of anti-cyclical planning systems. It is significant that almost all the economic objectives formu-

lated by Keynes in his General Theory can be found, in purely ideological form, at the tionism is the same as that of the poetics of all modern art: "To free oneself from the basis of the poetics of modern architecture. The foundation of Keynesian intervenit at ever new levels;24 Le Corbusier notes the reality of class in the modern city and to terms with the "party of catastrophe," and aims at coopting its threat by absorbing sense, this also underlies the urban planning theories of Le Corbusier. Keynes comes fear of the future by eyeing that future as present" (Negri). And in a strictly political takes its conflicts to a higher level, giving shape to the most advanced plan for integrating the public, whom he involves as operator and active user of the urban mechanism of development, now rendered organically "human."

ology of the Plan is swept away by the reality of the Plan the moment the plan came down Thus is our initial hypothesis confirmed. Architecture as the ide-

from the utopian level and became an operant mechanism. The crisis of modern architecture begins at the precise moment

when its natural target--large industrial capital---makes architecture's underlying ideology has exhausted its own functions: its obstinate insistence on seeing its ideology its own, setting aside the superstructures. As of that moment, architectural

> conditions, or a troublesome disturbance. hypotheses realized will become either a springboard for going beyond backward

the way by the system. as indirect stimuli for realizations compatible with the partial goals established along for the rationalization of cities and outlying areas remain unmet, continuing to act from 1935 to the present day can be read in this light. The most general demands The regression and anxious struggles of the modern movement

At this point something inexplicable, at least at first glance, occurs. The ideology of anxiety that Constructivism seemed to have forever overcome, and by sublimating above the ideological level by recuperating Chaos, by contemplating the very same abandoned, an attempt is made to counteract the processes that have concretely risen ent in the dialectic of bourgeois culture. Although the "utopia of the project" is not form seems to abandon its own vocation to realism, turning to the second pole inher-

testing the "technological civilization." stimulus to the structures of production and hides behind ambiguous slogans concontradictions of capitalist development, architectural ideology gives up its role as Having arrived at an undeniable impasse due to the inherent

of the city itself. of mystifying its own role as mediator between Utopia and Production—is the image which, compared to the Neue Sachlichkeit of the 1920s, has the serious drawback tion of this alliance—now postulated in terms of an ambiguous "neo-humanism" and industrial production. It is no accident that the area singled out for the redempto give new substance to the alliance between techniques of visual communication criticism has been accumulating symptomatic ideological inventions in an attempt concentrating all its attention on the internal problems of design itself, contemporary Incapable of analyzing the real causes of the crisis of design, and

guard. This, however, corresponds precisely to the twofold demand now made of the of increasing consumption, Pop Art, by recycling its residues, places itself at its rear sumes the lead in technological production, influencing its quality for the purpose of art is thus useful to this new task of covering up. Just when industrial design asconflicts that elude the plans of advanced capitalism. The recuperation of the concept proper parameters, proves to be nothing more than the explosion of the incurable images, figuratively glorifying that formal complexity which, when read with the simulating the contradictions of the contemporary city, resolving them in polyvalent and esthetique prospective, all these things converge toward a single objective: that of disgive the city a superstructural face. Pop Art, Op Art, analyses of the city's imageability, only by the back door, the cycle of production and consumption. guard of the cycles of production, demonstrates, well beyond all verbal challenges, technologies of visual communication. An art that refuses to place itself in the vanmated into useless or nihilistic objects, can assume a new use value, thus reentering, if that the consumption process extends to infinity, and that even rubbish, when subh-City as superstructure, then. Actually, art is now called upon to

or generalized dysfunctions. transform the city into a totally organized machine without archaic forms of waste refusal—perhaps only temporary—to fully resolve the contradictions of the city and Yet this rear guard is also an indication of the capitalist plan's

bilities to be turned to account, bright and shining values to be presented as new table—that such chaos in itself, in fact, contains unexplored riches, unlimited possithe contradictions, imbalances, and chaos typical of the contemporary city are inevi-In such a phase as this, one must act to convince the public that

Carnaby Street and the new utopianism are thus different aspects of one phenomenon. Architectural and supertechnological utopianism; the rediscovery of the game as a condition for the public's involvement; the prophecies of "aesthetic societies"; invitations to establish the primacy of the imagination: such are the proposals of the new urban ideologies. 15

There is one text in particular that manages to synthesize and balance all the different exhortations for art to assume a new, persuasive rather than operative role. And it is significant that this book, the Livre blanc de l'art total, by Pierre Restany, explicitly brings up all the same themes that arise from a concerned awareness that the objectives pursued until now have been eroding. The result of such erosion is that the "new" proposals for rescuing art have taken on the very same connotations, in different words, as those of the early-century avant-gardes, without possessing any of the clarity or self-confidence that the latter could quite justifiably flaunt. Restany writes:

The metamorphosis of languages is but the reflection of the structural changes of society. Technology, by increasingly reducing the gap between art (the synthesis of new languages) and nature (modern, technical, urban reality), plays a determinant role as catalyst of a sufficient, necessary process.

Beyond its vast potential and the limitless worlds it opens up, technology also displays a flexibility indispensable in a period of transition: it allows the conscious artist to act not upon the formal effects of communication, as before, but upon its very terms: the human imagination. Contemporary technology, in short, allows the imagination to take power. Freed of all normative impediments, of all questions of realization or production, the creative imagination can identify itself with global consciousness. Prospective aesthetics is the whicle of man's greaten lope: the collective liberation of humanity. The socialization of art represents the convergence of the forces of creation and production toward a goal of dynamic synthesis and technical metamorphosis: it is through such restructuring that man and reality find their true, modern face, that they become natural again, having overcome all alienation.¹⁴

Thus the circle closes. Marcusian mythology is used to demonstrate that it is possible to achieve a vaguely defined "collective freedom" within the current relations of production, and not through their subversion. One need only "socialize art" and put it at the head of technological "progress": never mind that the entire course of modern art demonstrates the utopianism—perhaps understandable yesterday, merely backward today—of such a proposition. Thus it actually becomes legitimate to assimilate even the most ambiguous slogans of May 1968. L'imagination upouvoir sanctions the reconciliation between revolt and conservation, between symbolic metaphor and productive process, between diversion and Raipolitik.

And that is not all. With the reassertion of art's role as mediator one may again assign it the naturalistic attributes that Enlightenment culture had given it. The avant-garde critique thus reveals its role as ideological tool of the current critical phase of the capitalist world. Indeed, it is even imprecise to call it a "critique" any longer, since its function, in this sense, is entirely obvious: the confusion and ambiguity that it advocates for art—using all the conclusions of semantic analysis to this end—are only sublimated metaphors for the critique's refusal to stand outside the circle of planning-production-consumption is therefore symptomatic. Restany goes on:

The critical method must contribute to a generalization of aesthetics: superseding the work and multiple production; making a fundamental distinction between the two complementary orders of creation and production; systematizing operational research and technical cooperation in every domain experimenting with synthesis; structuring the notion of game and

speciacle in psycho-sensorial fashion; organizing ambient space with a view to mass communications; inserting the individual environment into the collective space of urban well-being.

Criticism must therefore function within the cycles of production; it must, by becoming operative, serve as stimulus in order to shift the Plan to increasingly advanced levels.

But what real novelty is there in all this with respect to the historic avant-gardes? It would not be difficult to demonstrate, through technical analysis, that aside from a relaunching of ideology, the novel elements are extremely limited. Indeed, in propositions of this sort—once we have set aside the Marcusian utopia of redeeming the future dimension through the Great Refusal enacted by the imagination—there is clearly something less with respect to the coherence of the historic avant-gardes.

So how does one explain all this insistence on the waste of form and the recovery of a specific dimension of artistic themes, in the light of the need for increasing integration of formal elaboration into the cycle of production?

There is no denying that we are faced with two concurrent phenomena. On the one hand, the fact that building production remains confined to broad, comprehensive plans continues to reduce the functionality of architecture's ideological role. On the other, the economic and social conflicts exploding with ever greater frequency within urban and outlying areas seem to be imposing a pause on capitalism's Plan. Faced with the notion of the rationalization of the urban milieu—a central, determinant theme—capital seems, for the moment, unable to find within itself the strength and means necessary to fulfill the tasks rightly pointed out by the ideologies of the modern movement.

This has forced a return to activism—to strategies of stimulus, critique, and struggle—on the part of the intellectual opposition, and even of class organizations, which to this day have assumed the task of fighting to resolve such problems and conflicts. The harshness of the struggle over urban-planning laws (in Italy as well as the US), over the reorganization of the building industry, over urban renewal, may have given many the illusion that the fight for planning could actually constitute a moment in the class struggle.

Architects now work in a climate of anxiety, owing to the discovery of their decline as active ideologues, the realization of the vast potential of technology in the rationalization of the city and outlying areas together with the daily awareness of its waste, and the obsolescence of specific planning methods even before they have had a chance to be tested. All this points to a concrete development on the horizon, feared as the worst of all evils: the proletarianization of the architect, and his insertion—with no more neo-humanistic delays—within the planning programs of production.

When this new professional situation—already realized in advanced capitalist countries like the US or in countries of socialized capital such as the USSR—is feared by architects and avoided with the most neurotic sorts of formal and ideological contortions, it shows only the political backwardness of that particular intellectual group.

Having ideologically anticipated the iron law of the Plan, architects, unable to interpret historically the distance traveled, are now rebelling against the extreme consequences of processes that they themselves helped to set in motion. What's worse, they are attempting pathetically to relaunch modern architecture "ethically," assigning it political tasks suitable only for temporarily calming abstract, unjustified frenzies.

typical of the capitalistic reorganization of the world market. crete activities and real production cycles, the imbalances, contradictions and delays on the level of an ideology all the more insidious because it lies entirely within conbrought into crisis in a grandiose attempt—the last of bourgeois culture—to resolve, architecture and the new systems of visual communication was born, developed and We must realize one thing: that the entire course of modern

each other. If we interpret them according to their true historical significance, it planning and the poetics of the object. art," between the rationalism of building production and informal subjectivism or becomes clear that there is no contradiction between constructivism and "protest Pop irony, between the capitalist plan and the urban chaos, between the ideology of Order and disorder, in this light, cease to be in opposition to

of modern capitalism, with all its structures and superstructures, into human exisextraneous to the project. The ideology of the project is as essential to the integration project or with those of a radical "anti-project." tence, as is the illusion of being able to oppose that project with the tools of a different The destiny of capitalist society, in this interpretation, is not at all

of better causes, denied or concealed the simple truth that, just as there can be no architecture and planning. Of primary interest to us, however, is the question of why, a class critique of aesthetics, art, architecture and the city. nomics, likewise there can never be an aesthetics, art or architecture of class, but only such thing as a political economics of class, but only a class critique of political ecountil now, Marxist-oriented culture has very carefully, and with an obstinacy worthy It may even be that many marginal and rearguard roles exist for

universal-realities that lie hidden behind the unifying categories of the terms "art," ology can only demystify the contingent, historical—and in no way objective or "architecture," and "city." A coherent Marxist critique of architectural and urbanistic ide-

danger of entering into "progressive" dialogue with the techniques for rationalizing tural criticism must become a critique of urban ideology, and avoid in every way the the contradictions of capital. In assuming its historic, objective role as class critique, architec-

sought without a linguistic, methodological and structural revolution reaching well whether, even leaving aside its manifest utopianism, such an objective could ever be beyond the simple subjective will or the simple updating of a syntax. "for a liberated society." Anyone who proffers such a slogan avoids the question of which strives to anticipate, through mere imagery, the conditions of an architecture And first among the intellectual illusions to be dispelled is that

no longer hide the ultimate reality that makes the choices of "leftist" architects so nize the historical inevitability of this phenomenon; yet having done so, one may in which it is included only in a social democratic perspective. One might well recogof ideals of progress and rationalization to which the working class is extraneous, or Modern architecture has marked the paths of its own destiny by becoming the bearer uselessly anguished

tions—and the merciless exploration of its own objective commodification. There is ambiguity, poised as it is between "positive" goals—the reconciliation of contradiction of architecture. The "fall" of modern art is the ultimate testimony of bourgeois issue from "weariness" or "dissipation." Rather, it is a crisis of the ideological funcis trapped inside a capsule with no exit. The crisis of modern architecture does not no more "salvation" to be found within it: neither by wandering restlessly through Uselessly anguished because it is useless to struggle when one

TAFURI | 1969 | 33

up in the sullen silence of geometries content with their own perfection. "labyrinths" of images so polyvalent that they remain mute, nor by shutting oneself

fication of planning is an obvious contradiction in terms. spaces":28 any search for an alternative within the structures determining the mysti-This is why there can be no proposals of architectural "anti-

of the class struggle will tell us whether the task we are setting ourselves is that of an concrete dimension in which the systematic destruction of the mythologies susavant-garde or a rearguard. taining its development is only one of the objectives. But only the future conditions "realized" by architecture itself, can only push further, and strive for a specifically Reflection on architecture, as a critique of the concrete ideology

- M. A. Laugier, Observations sur l'architecture (The Hague, 1765), pp. 312-313.
- tion—comes to replace the traditional principles of authority which rationalism and Alexander Cozens, A New Method of Assisting the Invention Drawing Original Compositions of Landscape sensism were in the process of destroying. significance attributed to Nature—the subject and object of ethical and pedagogical acdell'Illuminismo in Inghilterra da Reynolds a Constable (Rorne: Bulzoni, 1965), pp. 153 ff. The civic strained / by the same Laws which first herself ordained." Cf. G. C. Argan, La pittum devised / are Nature still, but Nature methodized: / Nature, like Monarchy, is but re-(London, 1786). The words of Alexander Pope, cited by Cozens at the start of his treatise, assume particular importance in this context: "Those rules which are discovered, not
- Cf. Le Corbusier, Urbanisme (Paris: Crès, 1925).
- Cf. G. B. Piranesi, Iconographia Campi Martii (1761-1762); idem, Pareresu l'architettura, included M. Quarremère de Quincy, "Architecture" entry in the Encyclopédie méthodique, vol. I, p. 109 the Warbung Institute 3 (1938), p. 2. Zeitschrift für Kunstgeschichte 2 (1933); R. Wittkower, "Piranesi's Purere su l'architettura," Journal of in the Osserwazioni (Rome, 1765); Werner Körte, "G. B. Piranesi als praktischer Architekt,"
- Francesco Milizia, Principj di architettura civile (Bassano, 1813), vol. II, pp. 26-27 Ibid., p. 28.
- Ibid., p. 29.
- in Lombardia (Naples: Esi, 1966). sica milanese," Società 12, no. 2 (1956), pp. 474-493; G. Mezzanotte, L'architettum neoclassica Cf. G. Antolini, Descrizione del Foro Bonaparte, presentato coi disegni al comitato di governo della Repubblica Cisalpina . . . (Milan, 1802); A. Rossi, "Il concetto di tradizione nell'architettura neoclas-
- Cf. L. Benevolo, Storia dell'architettura moderna (Bari: Laterza, 1960); M. Manieri-Elia, L'architetto productive initiative" (pp. 64–65). Cf. also the exceptional documentation of the for-University Press, 1965). should provide a maximum guarantee of flexibility and present a minimum of resistance service of inalienable human rights, then that is all the more reason why an urban plan mation of American cities in J. W. Reps, The Making of Urban America (Princeton: Princeton the government should only be a flexible and alterable instrument at all times in the vidualism, according to which the system, as is quite evident in the Declaration of Indenieri about the 1807 plan for the city of New York, "it seems clear that the Puritan, pendence \dots , consists of the least cumbersome sort of functional support possible: if 'antiarchitectural' attitude well coincides with the sense of Jeffersonian libertarian inditum del dopoguerm in U.S.A. (Bologna: Cappelli, 1966). "On the urbanistic level," writes Ma-
- The historical period of utopian socialism and its proposals for urban reorganization cansuch an analysis would well surpass the limits of the present essay. movement. One can only hint at the alternative role utopian Romanticism played as comning practices, should be compared with the models formulated by the New Deal. But pared to those ideologies. Its development, however, particularly in Anglo-Saxon plannot be regarded with the same criteria as the formation of the ideologies of the modern
- 12. Walter Benjamin, "On Some Motifs in Baudelaire," in Illuminations, ed. Hannah Arendt, trans. Harry Zohn (New York: Harcourt, Brace and World, 1968). "Engels is dismayed

by the crowd," writes Benjamin: "he responds with a moral reaction, and an aesthetic one as well; the speed with which people rush past one another unsettles him. The charm of his description lies in the intersecting of unshakable critical integrity with an old-fashioned attitude. The writer came from a Germany that was still provincial; he may never have faced the temptation to lose himself in a stream of people" (p. 169).

- 13. Ibid., pp. 169-170
- 14. "With the rise of the great cities prostitution came into possession of new secrets. One of these is the labyrinthine character of the city itself. The labyrinth, whose image had passed into flesh and blood in the flineur, is at the same time colorfully framed by prostitution." Walter Benjamin, "Central Park," New German Critique 34 (Winter 1985), p. 53.
- Benjamin, "On Some Motifs in Baudelaire," p. 178.
- Ibid., p. 179.
- Ludwig Hilberseimer, Grasstadarchitektur (Stuttgart: Julius Hoffmann Verlag, 1927), p.
 100
- 18. From this derived the model of the "vertical city" which, according to Grassi (introduction to Un'idea di piano, a translation of Hilberseimer's Entfaltung einer Planungside [Padua: Marsilio, 1967], p. 10), was presented as a theoretical alternative to the "city for three million inhabitants" presented by Le Corbusier at the 1922 Salon d'Automne. It should also be noted that despite his detached rigor, Hilbersheimer—who, not coincidentally, was a member of the 1919 Novembergruppe and of all the "radical" intellectual groups thereafter—would come close, after the self-critique he carried out after his transfer to the U.S., to the communitarian and naturalistic myths that were to figure among the ideological ingredients of the New Deal.
- Hilbersheimer, Grossstadtarchitektur, p. 103.
- Ibid.
- 21. The drawings in the Poème de l'angle droit (Paris: Verve Editions, 1955) clarify the significance Le Corbusier gave to the journey of the intellect through the labyrinth. As for Klee—to whose graphic style these drawings are very close—Order is not a totality external to the human activity that creates it. As much as the search for synthesis is enriched by the uncertainties of memory, by the tension of doubt, even by paths leading elsewhere than to the final destination, such a destination is actually reached in the fullness of an authentic experience. For Le Corbusier, too, the absolute of form lies in the full realization of a constant victory over the uncertainty of the future, achieved through the assumption of the problematic position as the only guarantee of collective salvation.
- 22. Among Le Corbusier's many written testimonies in which architectural intervention is explicitly foregrounded as an instrument of class integration, his passage on the Van Nelle factory in Holland is particularly revealing: "The Van Nelle tobacco factory in Rotterdam," writes Le Corbusier, "a creation of the modern age, redeems the word protestion dam," writes Le Corbusier, "a creation of the sentiment of egotistical property toward as sentiment of collective action leads us to the felicitous phenomenon of personal intervention at every stage of human endeavor. The work remains such as it is in its material state, but the spirit illuminates it. I repeat: everything lies in the words: proof of low.
- "It is to this point that, through a new form of administration, one should lead, purify and amplify the contemporary event. Tell us what we are, in what way we may be of use, why we work. Give us plans, show us the plans, explain your plans. Make us united. . . . If you show us the plans and explain them to us, the propertied classes and the hopeless proletariat will cease to exist. in their place will be a society of belief and action. At this present moment of strict rationalism, the question is one of consciousness." Le Corbusier, Spectucle de la vie moderne, in La ville radieuse (Paris: Vincent Fréal, 1933),
- 23. On the basis of these considerations, one could rebut Banham's thesis, which criticizes, from a point of view based within technological development, the typological stasis of the "modern movement's" masters. "In opting for stabilised types or norms," he writes, "architects opted for the pauses when the normal processes of technology were interrupted, those processes of change and renovation that, as far as we can see, can only be halted by abandoning technology as we know it today, and bringing both research and mass-production to a stop." Reyner Banham, Theny and Design in the first Machine Age (London:

TAFURI 1 1969 | 35

The Architectural Press, 1962), p. 325. It is perhaps unnecessary to point out that all the architectural science fiction that has proliferated from 1960 to this day by redeeming the "image" dimension of the processes of technology, is, compared to Le Corbusier's Obus plan, quite disturbingly backward.

- Cf. A. Negri, "La teoria capitalista dello stato nel '29: John M. Keynes," Contropiuto, no. 1 (1968), pp. 3 ff.
- 25. Cf., as texts symptomatic of the phenomenon: G. C. Argan, Rdations introduttiva al convegno sulle strutture ambientali* (Rimini, September 1968); L. Quaroni, La Torre di Babele (Padua: Marsillo, 1967); M. Ragon, Le visionnaires de l'architecture (Paris, 1965); A. Boatto, Pop Art in U.S.A. (Milan: Lerici, 1967); F. Menna, Profezia di una società extetica (Milan: Lerici, 1968). It should not be necessary to point out that this grouping of these texts has nothing to do with their inherent rigor or the quality of their individual contributions.
- Pierre Restany, "Le livre blanc de l'art total; pour une esthétique prospective," Domus, no. 269 (1968), p. 50. Italics mine.
- 27. Ibid. Obviously I am using Restamy's text merely to exemplify a very widespread mythology among the protagonists of the new avant-garde. Moreover, many of my assertions may also hold true for far more serious "disciplinary" attempts at redemption through utopia.
- 28. The Italian word here is contrapazi—in the original, an obvious polemical reference to the polemical contemporary architectural journal, Contrapazio. [Translator's note.]